




**Canso** INVESTMENT  COUNSEL LTD.

# Corporate Bond Newsletter

JANUARY 2026



The Blue Jays captured the hearts of Canadians this past season with an improbable run to the World Series. And while it ended with the heartbreak of a Game 7 extra-innings loss, the Jays wasted no time in their efforts to run it back, storming into the offseason with bold roster moves. Canadians are now gearing up for the upcoming Winter Olympics in February, where the return of NHL players to Olympic ice promises a thrilling showdown and a chance to reclaim hockey supremacy. All this evokes a sense of Canadian pride in the face of ever divisive issues. We are certainly operating in an environment of heightened uncertainty, though you wouldn't know it from looking at financial market results.


## Canada Strong??

Canada's 2025 federal budget, branded "Canada Strong", was designed to respond directly to heightened uncertainty with the United States and broader global disruption by pivoting toward economic self-reliance and strategic investment. Faced with tariff pressures that have weighed on exports and business confidence, Ottawa's plan aims to diversify trade, including an ambitious goal to double non-U.S. exports over the next decade and invest in trade corridors and infrastructure to support global market access. To support Canadian industries, federal contracting will increasingly emphasize "Buy Canadian" sourcing, while defence and competitiveness measures attempt to fortify the economy against external shocks. All of this comes at a significant cost of course, with Ottawa projecting a sharp increase in the budget deficit. The bond market has taken notice with long term government bond yields continuing to face upward pressure as investors price in an increased supply of debt to come.

Rising long term government bond yields in Canada negatively impacted bond market performance in 2025. The ICE BofA Canada Broad Index returned just 2.4% in 2025 because of a negative contribution of -1.0% from the long-term bond component. The impact of higher yields was softened by strong performance in corporate bonds. The Canada Corporate Index was up 4.3% for the year with a much better 2.5% contribution from long-term bonds. The graph below plots credit spreads for long-term corporate bonds in Canada. Spreads on long corporates continue to look expensive with levels now comparable to 2007, a time when there were significantly less BBB-rated bonds in the index than there are today.

## Slim Pickings


### Long Canada Corporate Index



Conversely, the short end of the curve moved in the opposite direction. The Bank of Canada cut rates 4 times in 2025, each time lowering administered rates by 25 basis points (bps). It looks to be on hold for now. The result has been a steepening of the Canadian yield curve, as charted below.

## Uphill Climb

### Government of Canada Yield Curve



## All Right Now


Despite tariff uncertainty, persistent geopolitical tensions, the longest U.S. government shutdown in history, and a host of other macro and policy risks, markets charged ahead, delivering an 'everything rally' in 2025, with equities, credit, and select real assets posting broad-based gains. Unusually, both traditional safe-haven assets, and risk-on assets performed strongly at the same time. What was interesting was the divergence in safe-haven performance. The U.S. dollar weakened, while metals soared to record heights. Gold was up 65%, and silver was up an even shinier 148% in 2025. Meanwhile, Bitcoin faced an identity crisis, no longer behaving as a classic risk asset, but also not as a maturing store of value. Instead, Bitcoin finds itself stuck in a middle ground where it has decoupled from its strong correlation with equities, yet fails to benefit from safe-haven demand when there is a flight to safety. For the first time since 2014, Bitcoin fell when the S&P 500 rose, down 6.3% and up 17.9%, respectively.

## The Bottom Line

The final quarter of 2025 saw almost all major indices post incremental gains to close out a strong year. The S&P/TSX Composite was the star performer, up 6.1% in the fourth quarter and 30.8% for the year, outpacing its U.S. counterparts in a period that favoured financial and materials sectors. This was only the third time in the past 15 years that the TSX generated a higher annual return than both the Nasdaq Composite and S&P 500 indices, which were up 21.1% and 17.9%, respectively, in 2025. Bonds underperformed equity markets both in the quarter and for the year, but still delivered gains. The exception was the Canada Broad Bond index, which was down -0.4% in the fourth quarter, as government bonds were negatively impacted by a steepening yield curve. Despite negative quarterly performance, the index ended the year up 2.4%. Investment Grade and High Yield Corporate indices did better, returning between 4.3% and 8.5% in 2025, as credit spreads continued to tighten in.

### Canada and U.S. Market Returns

As of December 31, 2025




Source: Bloomberg, ICE BofA Indices, [PitchBook Data, Inc.](#)

The cited data has not been reviewed by PitchBook analysts and may be inconsistent with PitchBook methodology.

## Corporate Action


Risk premiums for investment grade corporate bonds continue to be supported by a persistent demand for yield. In the U.S., credit spreads finished modestly tighter on the year and remain historically expensive. Falling government bond yields helped deliver a strong 7.8% annual return for the U.S. Investment Grade Corporate Index. The story was different in Canada. Although credit spreads outperformed U.S. tightening, rising long-term government yields restrained the Canadian Investment Grade Corporate Index return to 4.3%.



In the graph below, we plot the outperformance of Canadian investment grade spreads in 2025. Although Canadian spreads continue to be wider in absolute terms relative to the U.S., that gap narrowed this past year. The lines below illustrate the cumulative credit spread change in both the Canadian and U.S. investment grade markets throughout 2025. After recovering the Liberation Day widening, the U.S. market has teetered but remained relatively flat. At current levels, U.S. investment grade continues to price at previous cycle tights. In Canada, after retracing, investment grade corporate bonds continued to march quite a bit tighter. In total, Canadian spreads narrowed 25 bps over the year whereas the U.S. narrowed 4 bps.

Go Canada Go!

### Cumulative Change in Canada and U.S. Investment Grade Credit Spreads




Source: ICE BofA Indices

As of December 31, 2025

The pursuit of yield continues to extend into lower quality bonds. Looking at valuations of high-yield bonds, credit spreads remain expensive by historical standards. Spreads ended 2025 at 296 bps, 14 bps tighter on the year. This strength, combined with falling yields, resulted in an 8.5% return for the U.S. high yield index. Investors are having little issue looking beyond the surge in liability management transactions or headline catching defaults. We continue to believe that outside of special situations, the risks in high yield bonds are asymmetric for investors.

### U.S. High Yield Credit Spreads



Source: ICE BofA Indices


As of December 31, 2025

## More Than A Feeling

Optimism has investors floating from one new issue to the next. With credit spreads at previous cycle tights, it was a good year to be a borrower, and Canadian companies took advantage. Last year brought record supply to the Canadian market with \$160 billion of new issuance, surpassing 2024's level by \$20 billion. The borrowing surge was broad-based, as issuers locked in attractive coupons on favourable terms. An additional record was set in 2025 as 39 inaugural issuers tapped the market. Two particularly strong sectors were maple bonds and hybrid bonds. FTSE Index eligibility encouraged a swell in maple issuance to \$16 billion, almost double the 2024 figure. Foreign companies found it attractive to issue in Canadian dollars due to an expanding buyer base, diversified funding markets and lower all-in yields. Meanwhile, hybrid issuance surpassed \$10 billion in 2025, matching the cumulative total of the prior 6 years combined!

### Rising Tide

#### Annual Maple Bond & Hybrid Bond Issuance




Source: Bloomberg

As of December 31, 2025

Our recent Newsletters have highlighted the strength in BBB-rated issues in Canada. This quarter, we take a look at a BBB+ rated TransCanada Pipelines new issue from mid-November. The 30-year bonds were issued at a spread of 145 bps over the Government of Canada yield, equating to a coupon rate of 5.127%. The issuer last printed a 30-year bond in May 2022, a little over 3 years ago. The new issue spread at that time was 295 bps, double the cost of today's level. The spread in November represents the lowest spread on a long bond that TransCanada has achieved since 1998, when the company was firmly in the single A rated category.

## Cheap Money

### Long TransCanada Spreads + Issuance Levels



### Return to Sender

In December, BBB-rated TELUS Corporation launched a significant cash tender offer in Canada to repurchase up to \$500 million of several series of its outstanding debt, spanning maturities from 2031 to 2051. This followed a successful tender by the company of \$1.8 billion of senior debt in June. Bondholder participation far exceeded the initial tender cap as the company offered to repurchase outstanding bonds at up to 15 bps inside of secondary levels. TELUS ultimately upsized the initial \$500 million offer, accepting all tendered amounts for five series of bonds totaling \$1.1 billion. The successful tender was in large part thanks to financing from concurrent junior subordinated note issuances. Replacing senior debt with junior notes results in lower leverage metrics as calculated by the rating agencies. TELUS's ability to complete the transaction underscores how receptive markets are to high-grade borrowers, even amid macro uncertainty.


In total, TELUS, Bell and Rogers executed \$10 billion of tender offers in Canada and the U.S. in 2025. Of this total, approximately \$5 billion was long-term, BBB-rated Canadian corporate bonds. There was \$58 billion of Canadian long-BBB bonds outstanding at the start of the year, across all issuers in the market, meaning approximately 9% of the total was taken out by these 3 companies. Tendering for this amount of debt at spreads 15-25 bps inside market levels was, of course, very supportive of secondary spreads. The long-BBB index now sits at a credit spread of 140 bps, 72 bps inside the long-term average. In our view, the risks are asymmetric at these levels. A move back to long-term average spreads would require long-bonds to reprice -10% lower. A move back to previous cyclical peaks would produce a significantly worse outcome.

## Learning To Fly

The Canadian high yield market experienced a revival of sorts as new issuance increased to \$7.3 billion in 2025, the highest year since 2021's \$9 billion record. A record number of inaugural issuers also came to market, with 11 new names joining the fray. Despite the healthy year, it is still difficult to consider the Canadian high yield space a fully formed market. The Canadian high yield bond market sits at a meager C\$23 billion, or US\$17 billion equivalent, compared to its U.S. counterpart at US\$1.5 trillion and European counterpart at US\$499 billion. Furthermore, the U.S. and European levered loan markets, which also include corporate issuers rated BB & Below, contribute an additional US\$1.55 trillion and US\$383 billion, respectively. Canada does not have a levered loan index.

### Not a Typo

#### Size of Sub-Investment Grade Markets



Source: Bloomberg, ICE BofA Indices, [PitchBook Data, Inc.](#)

The cited data has not been reviewed by PitchBook analysts and may be inconsistent with PitchBook methodology.

As of December 31, 2025

To illustrate the depth of the U.S. market, we just need to look at the number of Canadian issuers that choose to fund in the U.S. market rather than in Canada. In total, there are 45 Canadian companies that are issuers in the ICE BofA U.S. High Yield Index, with debt outstanding totaling US\$63 billion. Compare this to 36 issuers in the ICE BofA Canada High Yield Index, with a total of US\$16 billion outstanding. To help our readers out with the math, the U.S. market has 25% more Canadian issuers and almost 4x the debt on offer. The table below lists the top 10 Canadian issuers in the U.S. market and compares the total Canadian debt outstanding.

### Canadian Issuers in the U.S. versus Canada

	ICE BofA U.S. High Yield Index (million USD)	% of Index	ICE BofA Canada High Yield Index (million USD)	% of Index
Restaurant Brands International	7,650	0.51%	-	-
Bombardier	5,010	0.36%	-	-
GFL Environmental	3,550	0.25%	-	-
Open Text	3,300	0.22%	-	-
Garda World Security	3,100	0.22%	-	-
NOVA Chemicals	3,075	0.22%	-	-
Telus	3,000	0.21%	1,751	12.14%
Rogers Communications	2,850	0.20%	730	4.77%
GoEasy	2,400	0.16%	237	1.44%
Methanex	2,300	0.16%	-	-
<b>Top 10 Issuers in U.S.</b>	<b>36,235</b>	<b>2.50%</b>	<b>2,718</b>	<b>18.35%</b>
Remaining Canadian Issuers	26,331	1.78%	13,056	81.65%
<b>Total Canadian Issuers</b>	<b>62,566</b>	<b>4.28%</b>	<b>15,774</b>	<b>100.00%</b>


Source: ICE BofA Indices  
As of December 31, 2025

### Soft Landing

Spirit Aerosystems exited the U.S. high yield index in December following a turbulent 7-year period. The aerospace manufacturer, that produces fuselages, wings and other structural components, was originally spun out of Boeing in 2005. The company successfully expanded its customer base beyond Boeing, producing complex aircraft parts for Airbus, Bombardier and several defense contractors. In the years that followed the spinoff, business was good, and the company enjoyed an investment grade credit rating.

Despite broadening their customer mix, producing fuselages for Boeing's 737 program remained a dominant part of their business. When production of Boeing's 737 MAX-8 was curtailed in 2019 following two fatal crashes, the financial and operational impact to Spirit was significant. As we can see in the chart below, what followed for Spirit was a series of downgrades, sending the issuer's rating down 7 notches, from BBB- to CCC+.

## Soft Landing Spirit Lands Back at Boeing



Source: Bloomberg

As of January 19, 2026

Entering a global pandemic 12 months later only worsened Spirit's position. Credit spreads on their unsecured issue due 2028 widened 800 bps, sending the bond down over 35% in price. Boeing provided Spirit financial support throughout this period, not wanting a key supplier to go under. But after four years of production interruptions and continued cash burn at Spirit, BBB- rated Boeing made the strategic decision in July 2024 to takeover the supplier. After 18 months of regulatory proceedings, Boeing completed the acquisition this past December. Spirit's CCC+ rated unsecured bonds now sit under Boeing Co's umbrella and share the company's BBB- rating. Spreads have reacted accordingly.

### Margin of Safety


Markets were firing on all cylinders in 2025. Stocks continue to touch all-time highs, while credit spreads inch closer to historical tights. Markets appear priced for perfection, as investors ignore downside risks. As we have been saying for some time, the balance of risks is highly asymmetrical. Benjamin Graham called it "Margin of Safety".

At Canso, we are firm believers in the credit cycle. Calm markets instill confidence which leads investors to accept higher risks at tighter spreads. Tighter spreads lead borrowers to take on higher leverage and aggressive growth. Optimism grows, skepticism fades and the cycle continues. Until it doesn't. We are building liquidity in our portfolios today so that we will have the freedom to act with conviction when the cycle turns – whenever that may be. As Morgan Housel states, "We tend to be good at predicting the future, except for surprises – which tend to be all that matter."

# January 2026

# Corporate Bond

# Newsletter



## Contact Us

### Canso Client Service

[clientservice@cansofunds.com](mailto:clientservice@cansofunds.com)  
(905) 881-8853

As always, we appreciate your interest in and support of Canso.

Sign up to LinkedIn to stay on top of Canso's latest market comments.

## DISCLAIMER

This publication has been prepared by Canso Investment Counsel Ltd. and has been prepared solely for information purposes. Information in this publication is not intended to constitute legal, tax, securities or investment advice and is made available on an "as is" basis. Information in this presentation is subject to change without notice. Canso Investment Counsel Ltd. does not assume any duty to update any information herein.

Certain information in this publication has been derived or obtained from sources believed to be trustworthy and/or reliable. Canso Investment Counsel Ltd. does not assume responsibility for the accuracy, currency, reliability or correctness of any such information.

This document may contain forward-looking statements. Statements concerning a fund's or entity's objectives, goals, strategies, intentions, plans, beliefs, expectations and estimates, and the business, operations, financial performance and condition are forward-looking statements. The words "believe", "expect", "anticipate", "estimate", "intend", "aims", "may", "will", "would" and similar expressions and the negative of such expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations. Viewers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. While Canso Investment Counsel Ltd. consider these risks and uncertainties to be reasonable based on information currently available, they may prove to be incorrect.

Source: Bloomberg Index Services Limited. BLOOMBERG® is a trademark and service mark of Bloomberg Finance L.P. and its affiliates (collectively "Bloomberg"). BARCLAYS® is a trademark and service mark of Barclays Bank Plc (collectively with its affiliates, "Barclays"), used under license. Bloomberg or Bloomberg's licensors, including Barclays, own all proprietary rights in the Bloomberg Barclays Indices. Neither Bloomberg nor Barclays approves or endorses this material, or guarantees the accuracy or completeness of any information herein, or makes any warranty, express or implied, as to the results to be obtained therefrom and, to the maximum extent allowed by law, neither shall have any liability or responsibility for injury or damages arising in connection therewith.

Source: [PitchBook Data, Inc.](#) The cited data has not been reviewed by PitchBook analysts and may be inconsistent with PitchBook methodology.

Source: London Stock Exchange Group plc and its group undertakings (collectively, the "LSE Group"). © LSE Group 2025. FTSE Russell is a trading name of certain of the LSE Group companies. "FTSE ®" is a trade mark of the relevant LSE Group companies and is used by any other LSE Group company under license. All rights in the FTSE Russell indexes or data vest in the relevant LSE Group company which owns the index or the data. Neither LSE Group nor its licensors accept any liability for any errors or omissions in the indexes or data and no party may rely on any indexes or data contained in this communication. No further distribution of data from the LSE Group is permitted without the relevant LSE Group company's express written consent. The LSE Group does not promote, sponsor or endorse the content of this communication."

Any unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited. Nothing herein should in any way be deemed to alter the legal rights and obligations contained in agreements between any ICE Data Services entity ("ICE") and their clients relating to any of the Indices or products or services described herein. The information provided by ICE and contained herein is subject to change without notice and does not constitute any form of representation or undertaking. ICE and its affiliates make no warranties whatsoever either express or implied as to merchantability fitness for a particular purpose or any other matter in connection with the information provided. Without limiting the foregoing ICE and its affiliates makes no representation or warranty that any information provided hereunder are complete or free from errors omissions or defects. All information provided by ICE is owned by or licensed to ICE. ICE retains exclusive ownership of the ICE Indices including the ICE BofAML Indexes and the analytics used to create this analysis

ICE may in its absolute discretion and without prior notice revise or terminate the ICE information Indices and analytics at any time. The information in this analysis is for internal use only and redistribution of this information to third parties is expressly prohibited.

Neither the analysis nor the information contained therein constitutes investment advice or an offer or an invitation to make an offer to buy or sell any securities or any options futures or other derivatives related to such securities. The information and calculations contained in this analysis have been obtained from a variety of sources including those other than ICE and ICE does not guarantee their accuracy. Prior to relying on any ICE information and/or the execution of a security trade based upon such ICE information you are advised to consult with your broker or other financial representative to verify pricing information. There is no assurance that hypothetical results will be equal to actual performance under any market conditions. THE ICE INFORMATION IS PROVIDED TO THE USERS "AS IS." NEITHER ICE NOR ITS AFFILIATES NOR ANY THIRD PARTY DATA PROVIDER WILL BE LIABLE TO ANY USER OR ANYONE ELSE FOR ANY INTERRUPTION INACCURACY ERROR OR OMISSION REGARDLESS OF CAUSE IN THE ICE INFORMATION OR FOR ANY DAMAGES RESULTING THEREFROM. In no event shall ICE or any of its affiliates employees officers directors or agents of any such persons have any liability to any person or entity relating to or arising out of this information analysis or the indices contained herein.